

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|----------------------|----------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/608,761 | 06/30/2000 | Klaus Binder | 705649 US1 ML | 2512 |
| 23911 7 | 590 12/22/2003 | | EXAMINER | |
| CROWELL & MORING LLP | | | SODERQUIST, ARLEN | |
| P.O. BOX 143 | AL PROPERTY GROUP 00 | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| WASHINGTO | N, DC 20044-4300 | | 1743 | |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 12/22/2003 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| ^ | | | | | | |
|--|---|---|---|--|--|--|
| , | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
| Advisory Action | 09/608,761 | BINDER ET AL. | <u> </u> | | | |
| • | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | Arlen Soderquist | 1743 | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ears on the cover sheet with the d | correspondence add | ress | | | |
| THE REPLY FILED 21 November 2003 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this application application (| ation. A proper reply h places the applica | / to a tion in | | | |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | | | | |
| a) The period for reply expires 3_months from the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Offic timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the mailing date of this Another than the mailing date of the mailing date of the mailing date of the Another than the mailing date of the Another than the mailing date of the Another than the mailing date of the mailing dat | Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin is FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THe date on which the petition under 37 CF of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention of the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the main attention. | g date of the final rejection IE FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the approperation of the fee. The appropriationally set in the final | on. See MPEP opriate extension opriate extension Office action; or | | | |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. | | | | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because: | | | | | | |
| (a) ☑ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); | | | | | | |
| (b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below); | | | | | | |
| (c) 🔀 they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or | | | | | | |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. | | | | | | |
| NOTE: See Continuation Sheet. | | | | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | | | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | | | | | | |
| 5.⊠ The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because: of t | | dered but does NO | F place the | | | |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were | e newly | | | |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | | | nd an | | | |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-14,18 and 21. | | | | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 15-17,19,20 | 0 <u>,22 and 23</u> . | | | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr | oved or b) disapproved by t | he Examiner. | | | | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s) | | | | | | |
| 10. Other: Alen Society ust | | | | | | |
| | ARLEN SODERGUIST PRIMARY EXAMINER | | | | | |

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-303)

Application No. 09/608,761

Continuation of 2. NOTE: the new issues minimally include claims dependent from canceled claims (6) and antecedent basis problems ("said sensors" in claim 24).